WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America v.				ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
	Emmanuel Carlos Reyes			Case Number: <u>12-0430M</u>			
			the Bail Reform Act, 18 acts are established:	U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detenti	on hearing has been held. I conclude		
	•	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
	•		ending trial in this case.	e the defendant is a flight T I FINDINGS OF FACT	risk and require the detention of the		
M	(1)	Thor					
	(1)		•	elieve that the defendant ha			
			in 21 U.S.C. §§ 801 et	maximum term of imprisonr seq., 951 et seq, or 46 U.S	ment of ten years or more is prescribed S.C. App. § 1901 et seq.		
				.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or	• • •		
			an offense listed in 18 maximum term of impr	U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) (F isonment of ten years or m	ederal crimes of terrorism) for which a ore is prescribed.		
			an offense involving a	minor victim prescribed in $_$.1		
	(2)	The comb	defendant has not rebutt pination of conditions will	ed the presumption establ reasonably assure the app	ished by finding 1 that no condition or bearance of the defendant as required.		
				Alternative Findings			
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.					
	(2)	(2) No condition or combination of community.		of conditions will reasonab	ly assure the safety of others and the		
	(3)		e is a serious risk that the e, or intimidate a prospec		attempt to obstruct justice; or threaten,		
				TATEMENT OF REASONS Check one or both, as applicable.)	FOR DETENTION		
	(1)	I find conv	that the credible testimon incing evidence as to da	ny and information submittenger that:	ed at the hearing establish by clear and		

Insert as applicable: Title 18, § 1201 (kidnapping), § 1591 (sex trafficking), § 2241 (aggravated sexual abuse), § 2242 (sexual abuse), § 2244(a)(1) (abusive sexual contact), § 2245 (offenses resulting in death), § 2251 (sexual exploitation of children), § 2251A (selling or buying of children), § 2252 et seq. (certain activities relating to material involving sexual exploitation of minors), § 2252A et seq. (certain activities relating to material constituting or containing child pornography), § 2260 (production of sexually explicit depictions of minors for importation into the U.S.), § 2421 (transportation for prostitution or a criminal sexual activity offense), § 2422 (coercion or enticement for a criminal sexual activity), § 2423 (transportation of minors with intent to engage in criminal sexual activity), § 2425 (use of interstate facilities to transmit information about a minor).

Case 2:12-cr-01747-DLR Document 5 Filed 10/02/12 Page 2 of 3

(2)	i find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:				
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.				
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
×	The defendant has a prior criminal history.				
	There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered.				
×	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.				
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of <u>5 years</u> incarceration and a maximum of <u>40 years</u> .				
The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:					
In addition: The instant allegations arose at a time when Defendant was under state parole and the Court is informed that the State jurisdiction will seek to revoke his parole and impose a detainer on Defendant. Defendant's substance abuse history also adds to risk of flight.					

The Court incorporates by reference the findings in the Pretrial Services Report which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Judge. Pursuant to Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P., Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the District Court. Failure to timely file objections may waive the right to review. See Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P.

Case 2:12-cr-01747-DLR Document 5 Filed 10/02/12 Page 3 of 3

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Judge to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 2nd day of October, 2012.

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge